

Date: Sat, 2 Jul 94 04:30:12 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V94 #293
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Sat, 2 Jul 94 Volume 94 : Issue 293

Today's Topics:

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: Fri, 01 Jul 1994 23:26:00 EST
From: lerc.nasa.gov!kira.cc.uakron.edu!malgudi.oar.net!wariat.org!amcomp!
dan@purdue.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <063094121049Rnf0.78@amcomp.com>, <2v13le\$otd@news.iastate.edu>,
<2v1in0\$c6a@ccnet.ccnet.com>8
Subject : Re: Existing regulations limit our advancement.

rwilkins@ccnet.com (Bob Wilkins n6fri) writes:

>Thay is a big IF. The concept of an automatic control operator is worth
>persuing. I would think that the talking time clocks we hear programmed on
>automatic repeaters are really in Beacon operation. Beacons have their own
>sub-bands and should not clutter the repeater sub-bands.

>

>A control operator can always program the automatic control operator to
>give the time in a tail message or the clean-up ID. These are normal
>functions resulting from an amateur station using the repeater.

Bob,

The FCC, as I understand it, considers these to be ancillary functions of

the repeater (like the patch) and can be restricted. The repeater is under automatic control when a patch is made. Remember the person bringing up the patch may not be and probably is NOT a control operator of the repeater. They are accessing an ancillary function. If 'forward' patches are league (and there seems to be a consensus that they are) then 'reverse' patches are also permitted under part 97. The whole point is who is in control of the transmitter of the repeater and it seems to be evolving that the FCC recognizes that the station on the input is NOT a control operator of the repeater. (i.e. Novice on 220 using a repeater linked to 146 or 444 where the novice CAN NOT be a control op. The control op is the automatic control operator. This same control operator is in control of the transmitter during a reverse patch.)

Dan

--

"Is life so dear, or peace so sweet, as to be purchased at the price of chains and slavery? Forbid it, Almighty God! I know not what course others may take, but as for me, GIVE ME LIBERTY, OR GIVE ME DEATH!" -Patrick Henry, Virginia House of Burgesses on March 23, 1775
=+=+=> Ted Kennedy's car has killed more people than my gun! - Me

End of Ham-Policy Digest V94 #293
